

2ND STORY of Level 1 printed in FULL format.

Copyright 1997 InfoWorld Media Group
InfoWorld

June 16, 1997

SECTION: ENTERPRISE COMPUTING; Pg. 92

LENGTH: 988 words

HEADLINE: The smoke has cleared and Netscape's fire burns bright

BYLINE: By Charlotte Ziemis

BODY:

If you have been following the saga of our 1996 Readers' Choice Awards, you know that this year's contest has been surrounded by more than its share of drama. We conducted the initial survey on our Web site in February but neglected to put in place any safeguards that would allow us to either identify questionable voting patterns or validate that the responses were statistically representative of InfoWorld's readers. For instance, we didn't capture IP addresses or require user identification during voting.

When, for the fourth year in a row, OS/2 won, and by an overwhelming majority (at least six times the votes of its nearest competitors) in three of the four categories, we knew the survey could not be relied upon and threw out the results. Outrage and anger and hundreds of passionate e-mails followed, but rather than abandon the reader survey altogether, we announced that we would have an outside research company administer a statistically valid survey of InfoWorld (both print and Electric) readers using the same ballot we had used in February.

With that survey complete, we have a true readers' choice: Netscape Navigator

3.0, which grabbed the "overall winner" category. Ironically, the InfoWorld Test Center did not present any browser with a Product of the Year award, debating that even though the product niche dominated 1996, neither Navigator nor Microsoft Internet Explorer was so impressive as to warrant an award. Besides, some of our editors argued, browsers are on the verge of disappearing into the operating system, so surfing the World Wide Web soon won't be any more significant than surfing your hard drive. You weren't convinced and, in fact, chose Navigator by a large margin over Internet Explorer.

In the client category, Microsoft Windows NT Workstation 4.0 edged out Iomega's Jaz drive by only 3.3 percentage points, which, statistically, is a tie; in the server category, it was Microsoft Windows NT Server; in networking, Norton Administrator Suite, Premiere Edition 3.0; and in the Internet area, Netscape Navigator 3.0, again.

Lest the OS/2 fans among InfoWorld's readership question our methodology, here's how we conducted the survey: We selected a sample audience on an nth name random basis among InfoWorld's subscribers, a sampling that represents 76 percent of our entire qualified base. Then we hired First Market Research, in Austin, Texas, to conduct 300 telephone interviews between May 5 and May 12, screening out readers employed by any computer-related hardware

InfoWorld, June 16, 1997

or software vendors. The sampling variance ranges from plus or minus 2.5 percent to 5.8 percent.

Readers' Choice Awards results: InfoWorld readers make the call

Top five overall winners

(1) Netscape Navigator 3.0	26.3%
(2) Microsoft Windows NT Workstation 4.0	13.7%
(3) Iomega Jaz Drive	8.7%
(4) Other (no clear winner)	7.7%
(5) Microsoft Windows NT Server	7.3%

Percentages of votes based on 300 participants.

Top five client winners

Microsoft Windows NT Workstation 4.0(*)	28.3%
Iomega Jaz drive(*)	25.0%
IBM Thinkpad 560	9.3%
U.S. Robotics Pilot 1000	7.7%
Epson Stylus Pro	5.7%

Top five server winners

Microsoft Windows NT Server	44.0%
Novell IntranetWare	21.3%
Sun Enterprise 3000	7.3%
OS/2 Warp Server, Version 4.0	5.7%
Backup Exec for Windows NT 6.11, Single Server Edition	5.0%

Top five networking winners

Norton Administrator Suite, Premiere Edition 3.0	27.3%
EtherLink III LAN PC Card	20.3%

InfoWorld, June 16, 1997

Sniffer Network Analyzer 5.0	11.3%
Lexmark Optra Lxi+	5.7%
Other (Novell 4.11)	4.3%

Top five Internet winners

Netscape Navigator 3.0	56.7%
Microsoft Internet Explorer 3.01	12.3%
FrontPage 1.1	9.7%
Adobe PageMill 2.0	5.3%
Microsoft Internet Information Server 3.0	4.0%

(*) Microsoft Windows NT Workstation 4.0 and Iomega Jaz drive statistically tied.

LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

LOAD-DATE: June 13, 1997